

# CANONICAL CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF UNDER-FIVE CHILDREN IN INDONESIA

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

15%

INTERNET SOURCES

7%

PUBLICATIONS

10%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1	<a href="http://www.tcd.ie">www.tcd.ie</a> Internet Source	10%
2	<a href="http://www.scientiaresearchlibrary.com">www.scientiaresearchlibrary.com</a> Internet Source	4%
3	Submitted to London School of Marketing Student Paper	4%
4	Submitted to Asian Institute of Technology Student Paper	1%
5	<a href="http://www.howchristianityworks.org.uk">www.howchristianityworks.org.uk</a> Internet Source	<1%

Exclude quotes

Off Exclude bibliography

Off

Exclude matches

< 1%

# CANONICAL CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF UNDER-FIVE CHILDREN IN INDONESIA

*by* Endang Yuswatiningsih

---

**Submission date:** 03-Jun-2020 01:17AM (UTC+0900)

**Submission ID:** 1336568901

**File name:** TING\_NUTRITIONAL\_STATUS\_OF\_UNDER-FIVE\_CHILDREN\_IN\_INDONESIA.docx (149.72K)

**Word count:** 3755

**Character count:** 24589



**CANONICAL CORRELATION ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF UNDER-FIVE CHILDREN IN INDONESIA**

**Endang Yuswatiningsih**

*School of Health Sciences of Indonesian Cendekia Medika, Jombang, Indonesia*

---

**ABSTRACT**

*The purpose of this study was to analyze the correlation of factors affecting the nutritional status of under-five children in Indonesia in 2015. This study employed a canonical correlation method which aimed to determine the relationship between two variables and identify the dimension between two groups of variables. The results showed that variables of complete basic immunization, complete neonatal vitamins, vitamin A, birth weight, and birth height were strongly correlated with malnutrition status and your nutritional status, but indicated a negative correlation with good nutritional and overnutrition status. The independent variables which gave the highest effects on the decrease of malnutrition and your nutritional status were complete basic immunization, complete neonatal vitamins, vitamin A, and birth weight, as they indicated a strong negative and an inverse correlation between the two variables.*

**Keywords:** canonical correlation, nutritional status, under-five children.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Good and quality human resources play a significant role in the fulfillment and maintenance of the nation's independence since they become one of the main factors needed for the national development. It is really important that mutually sustainable efforts are made to improve the quality of human resources. One of the factors affecting the quality of human resources is the health and nutrition. Therefore, people will not be able to develop their capacity maximally unless they achieve optimal health and nutritional status (Ministry of Health, 2001).

A good nutritional status is needed to develop quality human resources and should essentially begin as early as possible, that is since the human is still in the womb. At this stage, food with sufficient nutrients is badly important. From the food, the human gets some nutrients which are the basic needs to live and grow. Limited knowledge of how to feed under-five children in terms of the amount, type, and frequency, as well as the health-threatening habits, will, directly and indirectly, cause malnutrition in children (Husaini et al, 1909).

Having healthy and intelligent children is a dream of every parent. To make it happen, parents should always pay careful attention, watch and care for their children especially during the period of growth and development. Furthermore, childhood is crucial for every child and becomes a determining stage of the physical, psychological and cognitive growth and development (Sulistijani,

2001).

The prevalence of poor nutrition in under-five children (weight/US <-2SD) in Indonesia shows a fluctuating statistics. In 2007, the prevalence was 15.4% and decreased to 17.9% in 2010. Furthermore, the prevalence showed another increase to 19.6% in 2013. In some provinces such as Bangka Belitung, East Kalimantan, Central Kalimantan, and Central Sulawesi, the prevalence experienced a declining trend. Two provinces were found to have the highest prevalence (>30%) namely East Nusa Tenggara and West Papua. On the other hand, two other provinces (Bali and Jakarta) had the lowest prevalence of < 15%. The problem of stunting in under-five children in Indonesia is still quite serious as indicated by the national statistics of 37.2%. This statistics varies from the lowest in Riau Islands, Yogyakarta, Jakarta and East Kalimantan (<30%) to the highest (> 50%) in East Nusa Tenggara. The unchanged prevalence of this nutritional status is likely due to the unmonitored growth and development. Furthermore, it can be seen that the proportion of under-five children that has never been measured for their weight in the past six months had increased from 25.5% in 2007 to 34.3% in 2013 (Basic Health Research, 2013).

The prevalence of low birth weight infants reduced from 11.1% in 2010 to 10.2% in 2013. The statistics show a very clear variation across the provinces in Indonesia from the lowest in North Sumatra (7.2%) to the highest in Central Sulawesi (16.9%). For the first time in 2013, data collection regarding the height of newborn was carried out. The results found a national rate of infants with stunting problem (height <45 cm) was 20.2%, and this varied from the highest in East Nusa Tenggara (28.7%) to the lowest in Bali (9.6%).

There have been some improvements in the outcomes of child health programs especially the complete basic immunization which increased from 41.6% in 2007 to 59.2% in 2013. However, as many as 32.1% of babies did not receive a complete basic immunization, and 8.7% were found to never receive any immunization due to several reasons. These included the feeling of afraid that the baby might get a fever due to the immunization, ungranted permission from the family, far distance to the immunization center, insufficient information regarding the location of immunization center, and the very crowded activities. The other improved programs of child healthcare were the complete neonatal visit, which increased from 31.8% in 2007 to 39.3% in 2013, and the administration of vitamin A which increased from 71.5% in 2007 to 75.5% in 2013. Furthermore, exclusive breastfeeding in the last 24 hours of infants of 6-month age also increased from 15.3% in 2010 to 30.2% in 2013. An initiation of early breastfeeding of <1 hour also increased from 29.3% in 2010 to 34.5% in 2013 (Research of Basic Health, 2013).

Children who are born with low birth weight have a potential to have poor nutrition or even malnutrition. This malnutrition will give an impact on the decreased level of intelligence or IQ. Every child suffering from malnutrition is at a risk of losing the IQ of 10 - 13 points. A further impact of malnutrition is the increasing incidence of morbidity and mortality. The growth and development of children require a sufficient intake of energy and protein, and some other micronutrients needed primarily for the production of enzymes, hormones, and regulating biological processes for the growth and development, immune system and reproductive system (Devi, 2010).

This study aimed to analyze the correlation of factors affecting the nutritional status of under-five children in Indonesia by 2015.

#### MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study described a non-reactive or an unobtrusive study since the researchers used secondary data in the measurement of the utilized variables. This study was conducted in April to May 2015 and

used the resulted data from the Basic Health Research in 2013. In this study, the data included the nutritional status based on the body weight per age, namely malnutrition status ( $Y_i$ ), poor nutritional status ( $Y_j$ ), good nutritional status ( $Y_s$ ), overnutrition status ( $Y_i$ ), complete basic immunization ( $X_i$ ), complete neonatal visit ( $X_o$ ), administration of vitamin A ( $X_s$ ), birth weight ( $X_i$ ) and birth height ( $X_h$ ) in 33 provinces in Indonesia.

The data were analyzed by using the canonical correlation method. According to Siregar (2006), canonical correlation analysis is a multivariate statistical model which identifies and quantifies the relationship between two sets of variables. The general form of canonical function in this study is shown in formula 1.

$$Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + Y_4 = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5(1)$$

In which:

$Y_i$  : Malnutrition status

$Y_j$  : Poor nutritional status

$Y_s$ : Good nutritional status

$Y_i$ : Overnutrition status

$X_i$ : Complete basic immunization

$X_o$  : Complete neonatal visit

$X_s$ : Provision of vitamin A

$X_i$ : Birth weight

$X_h$ : Birth length

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### A. Testing of Assumptions

Before processed by using the canonical correlation analysis, the data should meet some assumptions, including multivariate normality, free of multicollinearity, and showed linearity between the variables.

#### 1. Normality Test

The purpose of normality test was to ascertain whether the distribution of data was or close to the normal distribution. The "good" data should show a normal pattern that is like the bell-shaped curve.

The normality test on the multivariate data is actually very complex since it should be performed in all variables together. However, this test can be performed on each variable, with a logical reasoning that if individually each variable meets the assumption of normality, then the multivariate variables can also be considered to meet the assumption of normality.

The hypothesis states:

$H_0$ : data are normally distributed

$H_1$ : data are abnormally distributed

Normal multivariate assumption testing in this study was conducted by using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. If the obtained significance value is  $<0.05$ , then  $H_0$  is accepted, which means that the data is abnormally distributed, and if the significance value is  $>0.05$ ,  $H_1$  is accepted, which means the data is normally distributed. The results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were presented in table 1.

Table 1. Results of normality test of the data

Variables	Kolmogorov-SmirnovSig.
Yi (malnutritionstatus)	0.799
Y (poor nutritional status)	0.295
Ys(grx>d nutritionalstatus)	0.950
Yr (overnutritionalstatus)	0.528

Based on the above table, it could be seen that the significance value of the 4 variables was  $>0.05$ , therefore,  $H_0$  was accepted, meaning that the data were normally distributed. Thus, the normal multi-variate assumption on the variables of malnutritional, prior nutritional, good nutritional and overnutritionstatus was fulfilled.

## 2. Multicollinearity Test

Multicollinearity test aimed to determine a definite linear relationship among the independent variables, which could be identified by investigating the variance inflation factor (VIF). If the VIF was  $<10$ , then multicollinearity did not occur. The multicollinearity results for the dependent variables of  $Y_i$ ,  $Y$ ,  $Y_s$  and  $Y_r$  were shown in Table 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Table 2.. Multicollinearity Test of Dependent Variable  $Y_i$ 

Independent variables	VIF	Notes
$X_i$	3.012	Free of multicollinearity
$X_j$	3.021	free of multicollinearity
$X_k$	2.914	Free of multicollinearity
$X_l$	1.493	Free of multicollinearity
$X_s$	1.053	free of multicollinearity

Table 3. Multicollinearity Test of Dependent Variable  $Y_2$ 

Independent variables	VIF	Notes
$X_i$	3.012	free of multicollinearity
$X_j$	3.021	Free of multicollinearity
$X_k$	2.914	Free of multicollinearity
$X_l$	1.493	free of multicollinearity
$X_s$	1.053	free of multicollinearity

Table 4. Multicollinearity Test of Dependent Variable  $Y_3$ 

Independent variables	VIF	Notes
$X_i$	3.012	Free of multicollinearity
$X_j$	3.021	Free of multicollinearity
$X_k$	2.914	free of multicollinearity
$X_l$	1.493	Free of multicollinearity
$X_s$	1.053	Free of multicollinearity

Table 5. Multicollinearity Test of Dependent Variables Y4

Independent variables	VIF	Notes
X	3.012	Free of multicollinearity
X <sub>2</sub>	3.021	Free of multicollinearity
X	2.914	Free of multicollinearity
X <sub>1</sub>	1.493	Free of multicollinearity
X <sub>3</sub>	1.053	Free of multicollinearity

### 3. Linearity Test

Linearity among the independent and dependent variable was tested by using a curve. The model was said linear if the plot between the standardized residual values and the standardized prediction values did not form a particular pattern.

The results of the linearity test based on figure 1, 2, 3 and 4 found that the plot of data regarding the malnutrition status, poor nutritional status, good nutritional status, and overnutrition status did not form a specific pattern. Therefore, the results of linearity assumption among the variables were fulfilled.

Dependent variable: g1\_juul

Figure 1. Linearity test on malnutrition status

Figure 2. Linearity test on poor nutritional status

Figure 3. Linearity test on good nutritional status

Figure 4. Linearity test on overnutritional status

### B. Formatinnofcanonicalfunction

The first step of canonical correlation analysis was to obtain one or more canonical functions. Each canonical function consisted of a pair of variables, one representing the independent variable and another one describing the dependent variable. The formation of the canonical function was used as a determination of a function that could be further analyzed for use in the interpretation of the results of canonical variates. This study involved 4 dependent variables so that there would be 4 canonical functions formed. Of the four functions, two types of tests (individual and joint tests) were performed for determining the functions that could be analyzed further. Individual tests were shown in Table 6 and Table 7.

**Table 6** Eigenvalues and canonical relationships

Root	No.	Eigenvalue	Pet.	Cum Pet.	Canon Cor.	Sq. Cor
1	1.65328	71.04564	71.04864	.78937	.6231	I
2	.48682	20.92077	91.96942	.57221	.32742	
3	.12379	5.31997	97.28939	.33190	.11016	
4	.06308	2.71061	100.00000	.24358	.0933	

Table 6 showed that the determination of functions 1, 2, 3 and 4 which could be further analyzed could be seen from the results of the value in the canonical correlation  $s'$ alue. The standard value used in the canonical correlation was  $> 0.5$ ; therefore, if the resulted value exceeded the limit, then the function could be analyzed further. A canonical correlation  $s'$ alue  $> 0.5$  indicated that the function could be further analyzed for interpretation of canonical variates. Thus, function 1 with a value of 0.75937 and function 2 with a value of 0.57221 was chosen for further analyses.

The canonical functions which could be further analyzed for the interpretation of canonical variates in individual tests were determined based on the dimensionality reduction analysis by considering their significance values. The significance value should be  $< 0.05$ . The result of dimensionality reduction analysis can be seen in table 7 below.

**Table 7.** Analysis of dimensionality reduction

Roots	Wilks L.	F	Hypoth. DF	Error DF	Sig. of F
1 TO 4	.21218	2.39995	20.00	80.55	.003
2 TO 4	.56298	1.34269	12.00	66.44	.217
3 TO 4	.83705	.80612	6.00	52.00	.570
4 TO 4	.94067	.85152	2.00	27.00	.438

Based on the results in the Sig of F column, which tested the significance of the canonical function, it could be seen that the significance values for functions 1, 2, 3 and 4 were consecutively 0.003, 0.217, 0.570 and 0.435. Of these results, the significance value of function 1 was far below 0.05, and thus this function was individually significant and could be further analyzed. Meanwhile, function 2, 3 and 4 showed the significance value of  $> 0.05$  and thus could not be individually considered for a further analysis.

The formation of further canonical function hereinafter was a joint test with 3 procedures, including the Pillai's, Hotelling's and Wilks tests to find out whether functions 1, 2, 3 and 4 were jointly significant and could be further analyzed. The significance value used in the joint test was  $< 0.05$ . The results of the joint test were presented in table 8.



**Table 8. Results of the Pillai's, Hotelling's and Wilks Tests**

Name	Value	Approx. F	Hypth.DF	Error DF	Sig.of F
Pillais	1.12002	2.10006	20.00	108.00	.008
Hotellings	2.32697	2.61785	20.00	90.00	.001
Wilks	.21218	2.39995	20.00	80.55	.003
Roys	.62311				

Based on the above table, it could be seen that the overall value of the Pillai's, Hotelling's and Wilks tests in the Sig column of F showed a significance value of  $<0.05$ , namely 0.005, 0.001 and 0.003. Thus, if combined together, the canonical functions 1, 2, 3 and 4 were significant and could be further processed.

Based on the results of the individual tests, the selected function for further analysis was the canonical functions 1. According to Santoso (2010), there were indeed differences between the individual and collective testing, and as a guideline, further analyses could be determined from the high canonical correlation value.

### C. Interpretation of canonical variates

After the canonical function 1 was found significant, the next step was to interpret the results of canonical variates existing in function 1. The interpretation of canonical variates was aimed at determining relationships between the independent variables in the canonical function and the dependent variables being measured. The analytical limit of analysis was  $> 0.5$ . If the value generated was above the limit, therefore, a high correlation or a strong positive relationship was existing.

Canonical variate measurements could be done in two ways: canonical weights and canonical loadings.

**Table 9 Canonical weight for dependent variates**

Variables	Correlational value
Malnutrition status (Y <sub>i</sub> )	0.84792
Poor nutritional status (Y <sub>1</sub> )	0.56620
Good nutritional status (Y <sub>s</sub> )	0.494837
Overnutritional status (Y <sub>2</sub> )	0.02123

**Table 10 Canonical weight for independent variates**

Variables	Correlational value
Complete basic immunization (X <sub>i</sub> )	0.68322
Complete neonatal visits (X <sub>1</sub> )	-0.72327
Provision of Vitamin A (X <sub>s</sub> )	0.96887
Birth weight (X <sub>1</sub> )	-0.65708
Birth length (X <sub>2</sub> )	0.00

Based on table 9, it could be seen that the correlational value of the dependent variables (malnutrition status (Y<sub>i</sub>), poor nutritional status (Y<sub>1</sub>), good nutritional status (Y<sub>s</sub>) and overnutrition status (Y<sub>2</sub>)) had a strong positive relationship with the independent variables (complete basic immunization (X<sub>i</sub>), complete neonatal visits (X<sub>1</sub>), administration of vitamin A (X<sub>s</sub>), birth weight (X<sub>1</sub>), and birth length (X<sub>2</sub>)), since the value was above the limit of 0.5.

Based on table 10, it was identified that out of 5 independent variables, only birth height (X<sub>5</sub>) showed a low correlational value towards the dependent variable. Meanwhile, other independent variables including the complete basic immunization (X<sub>1</sub>), complete neonatal visits (X<sub>2</sub>), vitamin A (X<sub>3</sub>) and birth weight (X<sub>4</sub>) showed a correlation or strong positive relationship with the dependent variable since they had the correlational value of above the limit of 0.5.

In addition to an analysis using the canonical weight, the interpretation was also undertaken by considering the value of canonical loadings. The results of the canonical loading analysis were presented in table II and table 12.

**Table II.** Canonical loadings for dependent variates

Variables	Correlational value
Malnutrition status (Y <sub>1</sub> )	0.97039
Poor nutritional status (Y <sub>2</sub> )	0.74632
Good nutritional status (Y <sub>3</sub> )	-0.93609
Overnutritional status (Y <sub>4</sub> )	-0.29423

**Table 12.** Canonical loadings for independent variates

Variables	Correlational value
Complete basic immunization (X <sub>1</sub> )	0.81499
Complete neonatal visit (X <sub>2</sub> )	0.90034
Provision of Vitamin A (X <sub>3</sub> )	0.62503
Birth weight (X <sub>4</sub> )	-0.77867
Birth height (X <sub>5</sub> )	-0.22224

Based on table I I and table 12, a correlational function of canonical loadings could be obtained, namely  $0.97039Y_1 + 0.74632Y_2 + (-0.93609Y_3) + (-0.29423 Y_4) = (-0.81499X_1) + (-0.90034X_2) + (-0.62503 X_3) + (-0.77867X_4) + (-0.22224X_5)$ . From this model, the correlational value of malnutrition status (Y<sub>1</sub>) and poor nutritional status (Y<sub>2</sub>) indicated a high correlation or a strong positive correlation with the independent variables: complete basic immunization (X<sub>1</sub>), complete neonatal visits (X<sub>2</sub>), vitamin A (X<sub>3</sub>), birth weight (X<sub>4</sub>) and birth length (X<sub>5</sub>), as their values were above the measurement limit of 0.5. Meanwhile, good nutritional status (Y<sub>3</sub>) was highly correlated but indicated a strong negative relationship with the independent variables since it had a value above the limit of 0.5. Furthermore, overnutritional status (Y<sub>4</sub>) showed a low correlational value and a weak negative relationship with the independent variables because it had a value below the measurement limit.

Based on the correlation function of canonical loadings for the independent variables, of the 5 independent variables, there was only one variable (birth weight (X<sub>4</sub>)) that indicated a low correlation or a weak negative relationship with the dependent variable, since the correlation value was below the measurement limit of 0.5. Meanwhile, the other independent variables had a high correlational value or a strong negative relationship with the dependent variables as the value was above the measurement limit of 0.5.

Based on the t-test calculation, the following results were identified:

- Four dependent variables and 5 independent variables had a significant relationship. In other words, there was a relationship between the nutritional status and complete basic immunization, complete neonatal visit, provision of vitamin A, birth weight and birth length if they were tested in groups.

- b. Of all 5 independent variables, one variable (i.e. birth weight) indicated no relationship. The other four variables: complete basic immunization, complete neonatal visit, provision of vitamin A, and birth weight had a very high but negative relationship. Thus, it could be interpreted that children who did not receive complete basic immunization, did not attend a complete neonatal visit, were not given vitamin A, and born with abnormal birth weight could experience malnutrition status or poor nutritional status.

### CONCLUSION

1. Assumption testing of the data before they were analyzed by using the canonical correlation was performed. The results revealed that the data were of the normal distribution, free of multicollinearity, and linear between variables or yielded in a curve which did not form a certain pattern.
2. Variables of complete basic immunization, complete neonatal visit, vitamin A, birth weight and birth height had a strong positive correlation with malnutrition status and poor nutritional status but showed a negative correlation with good nutritional status and overnutrition status.
3. The independent variables which gave the highest effects on the decrease of malnutrition status and poor nutritional status were complete basic immunization, complete neonatal visit, administration of vitamin A, and birth weight since they had a strong negative and an inverse correlation between both variables.

### REFERENCES

1. Anderson, H & Tatham B (1998). *Multi variata Due Ariel vets Fifth Edition*. New Jersey Prentice-Hall International
2. Anderson, TW (1999). *An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. New York : John Wiley and Son's
3. Asbah, MW dkk (2013) Penentuan Koefisien Korelasi Kanonikal dan Interpretasi fungsi Kanonik Multivariat. *Jurnal Pousiun Vol.2 No. 2 April 2013. Hal. 119 – 128*
4. Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan Kesehatan (2013). *Riport Kesehatan Dwior 2013*. Jakarta : Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia
5. DepKes RI, 2001. *Profil Kesehatan Indonesia 2001*, Jakarta.
6. Devi, M. 2010. Analisis Faktor- Faktor Yang berpengaruh Terhadap Status Gizi Balita Di Pedesaan. *Jurnal Teknologi dan Industri*. 2010;33(2):September: pp 183- 192.
7. Dillion, W. R. dan Goldstein, M., (1984), *Multivariate Analysis and Application*. , John Wiley & Son's Inc., New York
8. Ghozali, Irnam (2011) *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dalam Program IBM SPSS? 19*, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang
9. Gujarati, D., (2003), *Ekonometriku Dasar Edisi Keenam*, Erlangga, Jakarta.
10. Hair, J.E. Jr. R. E., Anderson, R. L. Tatham and W. C. Black, 1998. *Multivariate Data Analysis*, Prentice-Hall International. Inc., New Jersey
11. Husaini, dkk. 1999. *Manajemen Berbasis Industri*. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada University Press

- [12] Johnson, RA (2002) *Ayylied Multivariate Stati.siical Analysis Fifi Edition*, Prentice-Hall International Inc, New Jersey
- [13] Johnson, R. A. dan Winchern, D. W. 2007. *Ayylied Multivariate Stati.stical Ann/J.si.s.* New Jersey: Prentice Hall
- [14] Rahmawati, Novi dkk (2014). *Anali.si.s Pengaruh Motiva.st dan Lingkungan Ker)a terhadap Kepuasan Kerja dan Kiner ja Karyawan di PT Sinar Sosro Kantor Penjualan Wilayah Warn Sidoarjo Jawa Timur.* Malang : Jurusan TIP Universitas Brawijaya
- [15] Safitri, Diah & Paramita Indrasari (2000). Analisis Korelasi Kanonik Pada Perilaku Kesehatan dan Karakteristik Sosial Ekonoini di Kota Pati Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Media 5nrri.srifi* **2009;2(1)**: Juni:pp 39 —48
- [16] Santoso, Singgih (2010) 5nrri.srik *Multivariat (Kon.sey dan AyliLa.st dengan SPSS).* PT Elex Media Kornputindo, Jakarta
- [17] Sarwono, Jonathan (2007) *Are/i.si.s Jalur untuk ffi.srr Bi.sni.s.Andi offset*, Yogyakarta
- [18] Siregar, L S (2006). *Korelasi Kanonikal .' Compare.st dengan menggunakan SPSS dan Inrerprrrn.site.sit Ana fi.si.s.* Jakarta: Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Gunadarma
- [19] Sulistijani, A.D., 2001. *Menjaga Ke.sehatan Bayi dan Balita.* Jakarta: Puspa Swara [20]
- Supariasa, dkk, 2001. *Penilaian Status Gizi.* Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kedokteran, EGC [21]
- Supranto. 1999. *Analisis Multiivariar.* Jakara: Rineka Cipta.
- [22] Susilowati. 2008. *PenRukuranStaiu.s Lili Dengan Aniroyometri Gizi.* Jakarta : CV. Trans Info Media.
- [23] Watin, WAA & Heni Kusdarwati (2013). *Aplikasi Analisis Korelasi Kanonikal yada Hubungan Perilaku P.sikologis Siswa dan Pre.src.st Belajar dalam Kurikulum 2013 di Sekolah Dasar Brawijaya Sman School Malang.* Malang : Jurusan Matematika FMIPA Universitas Brawijaya
- [24] Wijaya, Toni (2010) *Am/i.st.s Multivariat.* UniversiyasAtma Jaya, Yogyakarta

